2025 NAHI GRANT REVIEW	
Applicant:	

Please complete the blue shaded boxes. Questions 7 and 8 will appear or remain blank dependent on the answer to the previous question. If no question populates please skip.

	Category	5 Exemplary	4 Good	3 Satisfactory	2 Needs Improvement	1 Insufficient	RATING:
1)	Relationship of Proposal to Needs	Project description provides clear details and significantly aligns to address unfunded housing needs in their community.	Project aligns well to address unfunded housing needs in their community.	Project addresses unfunded housing needs in their community.	Project only somewhat addresses housing needs.	No explicit relationship between project and needs of the community.	
2)	Impacts and Outcomes	5 Exemplary The applicant provided a rhobust description of the proposed impacts and outcomes.	4 Good The applicant fully described the proposed impacts and outcomes.	3 Satisfactory The applicant provided proposed impacts and outcomes.	2 Needs Improvement More details are needed to access proposed impacts and outcomes.	1 Insufficient The applicant did not provide proposed impacts or outcomes.	RATING:
3)	Plan and Timeline Feasibility	5 Exemplary Proposed plan and timeline provide strong rationale for project feasibility.	4 Good Proposed plan and timeline provide good rationale for project feasibility.	3 Satisfactory Proposed plan and timeline provide adequate rationale to determine the project is likely to be feasible.	2 Needs Improvement Project plan or timeline is missing some details needed to access project feasibility.	1 Insufficient Project plan or timeline is not feasible.	RATING:

4)	Is there anything unique that stood out in this application?	
5)	What makes this application stronger or weaker than other applications?	
6)	Should we fund this application?	

Only answer question 7 if question 6 is "Yes".

7)		

Only answer question 8 if question 7 is "No".

8)		

Additional Notes(Optional):